BEST AVAILABLE C

(12) UK Patent Application (19) GB (11) 2 370 301

(43) Date of A Publication 26.06.2002

- (21) Application No 0130640.6
- (22) Date of Filing 21.12.2001
- (30) Priority Data
 - (31) 60257224
- (32) 21.12.2000
- (33) US

(71) Applicant(s)

Baker Hughes Incorporated (Incorporated in USA - Delaware) 3900 Essax Lane, Suite 1200, P.O. Box 4740, Houston, Texas 77210-4740, United States of America

(72) Inventor(s)

Martin P Coronado Edward T Wood Benn A Voll Mohamad F Khodaverdian Ray Vincent Van N Ho

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service

Murgitroyd & Company

(51) INT CL7 E21B 43/08 43/10 43/14

(52) UK CL (Edition T) E1F FJF FLW FMU

(56) Documents Cited GB 2343691 A

GB 2336383 A US 6263966 B

EP 0360597 A US 5901789 A

Field of Search


UK CL (Edition T) ETF FJB FJF FLA FLW FMU INT CL7 E21B 43/08 43/10 43/14 Online: WPI EPODOC JAPIO

Scotland House, 165-169 Scotland Street, GLASGOW, G5 8PL, United Kingdom

(54) Abstract Title

A method for well completion using an expandable isolation system

(57) A well completion method for isolating at least one zone which comprises running into the wellbore a string with isolators 24, 26 in conjunction with a screen 28 which allows flow from the surrounding formation into the string and expanding the isolators and the screen in the wellbore. The isolators are tubular with a sleeve of an elastomeric sealing material. The screen is made of a weave in one or more layers. The completion assembly includes an inflatable expansion assembly which provides a limited expansion force and/or diameter. A plurality of zones can be isolated on a single trip.







FIG. 1a

FIG. 2a

FIG. 3a






FIG. 1c

FIG. 2c

FIG. 3c




FIG. 1d

FIG. 2d

FIG. 3d




FIG. 4a





FIG. 5a






FIG. 4c




FIG. 5c




FIG. 4d




FIG. 5d





FIG. 7






FIG. 9b





FIG. 10








FIG. 14









FIG. 20



F/G. 22




FIG. 23




FIG. 24





FIG. 25






FIG. 27




FIG. 28

TITLE: EXPANDABLE PACKER ISOLATION SYSTEM 1 FIELD OF THE INVENTION 3 4 The field of this invention is one-trip completion systems, which allow for zone isolation and 5 production using a technique for expansion of 6 screens and isolators, preferably in open hole 7 8 completions. 9 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION .10 Typically zonal isolation is desirable in wells with 11 different pressure regimes, incompatible reservoir 12 fluids, and varying production life. The typical 13 solution to this issue in the past has been to 14 cement and perforate casing. Many applications 15 further required gravel packing adding an extra 16 measure of time and expense to the completion. The 17 cemented casing also required running cement bond 18 logs to insure the integrity of the cementing job. 19 20 It was not unusual for a procedure involving 21 cemented casing, gravel packing and zonal isolation using packers to take 5-20 days per zone and cost as 22 much or over a million dollars a zone. Use of cement 23 in packers carried with it concerns of spills and 24 extra trips into the well. Frequently fracturing 25 26 techniques were employed to increase well productivity but cost to complete was also 27 increased. Sand control techniques, seeking to 28 combine gravel packing and fracturing, also bring on 29 30 risks of unintended formation damage, which could reduce productivity. 31

1 In open hole completions, gravel packing was difficult to effectively accomplish although there 2 3 were fewer risks in horizontal pay zones. The presence of shale impeded the gravel packing 4 operation. Proppant packs were used in open hole 5 completions, particularly for deviated or horizontal 6 7 open hole wells. Proppant packing involved running a 8 screen in the hole and pumping proppants outside of 9 it. Proppants such as gravel or ceramic beads were effective to control cave-ins but still allowed 10 water or gas coning and breakthroughs. Proppant 11 packs have been used between activated isolation 12 devices such as external casing packers in 13 procedures that were complex, time consuming, and 14 risky. More recently, a new technique which is the 15 subject of a co-pending patent application also 16 17 assigned to Baker Hughes Incorporated a refined technique has been developed wherein a proppant pack 18 is delivered on both sides of a non-activated 19 20 annular seal. In this technique the seal can 21 thereafter be activated against casing or open hole. 22 While this technique involved improved zonal isolation, it was still costly and involved complex 23 24 delivery tools and techniques for the proppant. 25 Shell Oil Company has disclosed more recently, 26 27 techniques for expansion of slotted liners using force driven cones. Screens have been mechanically 28 29 expanded, in an effort to eliminate gravel packing in open hole completions. The use of cones to expand 30 slotted liners suffered from several weaknesses. The 31

structural strength of the screens or slotted liners

1 being expanded suffered as a tradeoff to allow the 2 necessary expansion desired. When placed in service such structures could collapse at differential 3 4 pressures on expanded screens of as low as 2-300 5 pounds per square inch (PSI). Expansion techniques 6 suffered from other shortcomings such as the potential for rupture of a tubular or screen upon 7 8 expansion. Additionally, where the well bore is 9 irregular the cone expander will not apply uniform 10 expansion force to compensate for void areas in the 11 well bore. This can detract from seal quality. Cone expansion results in significant longitudinal 12 shrinkage, which potentially can misalign the screen 13 being expanded from the pay zone, if the initial 14 15 length is sufficiently long. Due to longitudinal shrinkage, overstress can occur particularly when 16 expanding from bottom up. Cone expansions also 17 18 require high pulling forces in the order of 250,000 pounds. Slotted liner is also subject to relaxation 19 after expansion. Cone expansions can give irregular 20 21 fracturing effect, which varies with the borehole 22 size and formation characteristics. 23 Accordingly the present invention has as its main 24 objective the ability to replace traditional 25 cemented casing completion procedures. This is 26 27 accomplished by running isolators in pairs for each 28 zone to be produced with a screen in between. The screen and isolators are delivered in a single trip 29 30 and expanded down hole using an inflatable device to preferably expand the isolators. The screens can 31 32 also be similarly expanded using an inflatable tool

or by virtue of mechanical expansion, depending on 1 the application. Each zone can be isolated in a 2 single trip. The completion assembly and the 3 expansion tool can selectively be run in together or 4 on separate trips. These and other features of the 5 invention can be more readily understood by a review 6 of the description of the preferred embodiment, 7 which appears below. 8 9 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION 10 A completion technique to replace cementing casing, 11 perforating, fracturing, and gravel packing with an 12 open hole completion is disclosed. Each zone to be 13 isolated by the completion assembly features a pair 14 of isolators, which are preferably tubular with a 15 sleeve of a sealing material such as an elastomer on 16 the outer surface. The screen is preferably made of 17 a weave in one or more layers with a protective 18 outer, and optionally an inner, jacket with 19 openings. The completion assembly can be lowered on 20 rigid or coiled tubing which, internally to the 21 completion assembly, includes the expansion 22 assembly. The expansion assembly is preferably an 23 inflatable design with features that provide limits 24 to the delivered expansion force and/or diameter. A 25 plurality of zones can be isolated in a single trip. 26 27 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS 28 Figures 1a-d, are a sectional elevation view of the 29 open hole completion assembly at the conclusion of 30

running in;

- 1 Figures 2a-d, are a sectional elevation view of the
- open hole completion assembly showing the upper
- 3 optional packer in a set position;
- 4 Figures 3a-d, are a sectional elevation view of the
- 5 open hole completion assembly with a zone isolated
- 6 at its lower end;
- 7 Figures 4a-d, are a sectional elevation view of the
- 8 open hole completion assembly with a zone isolated
- 9 at its upper end;
- 10 Figures 5a-d, are a sectional elevation of the open
- 11 hole completion assembly in the production mode;
- 12 Figure 6 is a sectional elevation view of the
- 13 circulating valve of the expansion assembly;
- 14 Figure 7 is a sectional view elevation of the
- inflation valve mounted below the circulating valve;
- 16 Figures 8a-b are a sectional elevation view of the
- 17 injection control valve mounted below the
- 18 circulating valve;
- 19 Figures 9a-b are a sectional elevation view of the
- 20 inflatable expansion tool mounted below the
- 21 injection control valve;
- Figure 10 is a sectional elevation view of the drain
- valve mounted below the inflatable expansion tool;
- 24 Figure 11 a detail of a first embodiment of the
- 25 sealing element on an isolator in the run in
- 26 position;
- 27 Figure 12 is the view of Fig. 11 in the set
- 28 position;
- 29 Figure 13 is a second alternative isolator seal in
- 30 the run in position;
- 31 Figure 14 is the view of Fig. 13 in the set
- 32 position;

- 1 Figure 15 is a third alternative isolator seal in
- 2 the run in position featuring end sleeves;
- 3 Figure 16 is a detail of an end sleeve shown in Fig.
- 4 15;
- 5 Figure 17 is the view of Fig. 15 in the set
- 6 position;
- 7 Figure 18 is a fourth alternative isolator seal
- 8 showing a filled cavity beneath it, in the run in
- 9 position;
- 10 Figure 19 is the view of Fig. 18 in the set
- 11 position;
- 12 Figure 20 is the view taken along line 20-20 shown
- 13 in Fig. 19;
- 14 Figure 21 illustrates a sectional elevation view of
- an undulating seal on the isolator in the run in
- 16 position;
- 17 Figure 22 is the view of Fig. 21 in the set
- 18 position;
- 19 Figure 23 is another alternative isolator with a
- 20 wall re-enforcing feature shown in section during
- 21 run-in;
- 22 Figure 24 is the view of Fig. 23 after the mandrel
- 23 has been expanded;
- 24 Figure 25 is the view of Fig. 24 after expansion of
- 25 an insert sleeve with the bladder.
- 26 Figure 26 is a section view of an unexpanded
- 27 isolator showing travel limiting sleeve;
- 28 Figure 27 is the view of Fig. 26 after maximum
- 29 expansion of the isolator; and
- 30 Figure 28 is the view at line 28-28 of Fig. 26.

32 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Referring to Figs. la-d, the completion assembly C 1 is illustrated in the run in position in well bore 2 10. At its lower end, as seen in Figs. 1d-5d are a 3 wash down shoe 12 and a seal sub 14 both of known design and purpose. Working up-hole from seal sub 14 5 are a pair of isolators 16 and 18 which are spaced 6 apart to allow mounting a screen assembly 20 in 7 between. Further up-hole is a section of tubular 22 8 whose length is determined by the spacing of the 9 zones to be isolated in the well bore 10. Further 10 up-hole is another set of isolators 24 and 26 having 11 a screen assembly 28 in between. Optionally at the 12 top of the completion assembly C is a packer 30, 13 which is selectively settable against the well bore 14 10, as shown in Fig. 2a. Those skilled in the art 15 will appreciate that the completion assembly 16 described is for isolation of two distinct producing 17 zones. The completion assembly ${\bf C}$ can also be 18 configured for one zone or three or more zones by 19 20 repeating the pattern of a pair of isolators above and below a screen for each zone. 21 22 The completion assembly C can be run in on an 23 24 expansion assembly E. Located on the expansion assembly E is a setting tool 32 which supports the 25 packer 30 and the balance of the completion assembly 26 C for run in. Ultimately, the setting tool 32 27 actuates the packer 30 in a known manner. The 28 majority of the expansion assembly E is nested 29 within the completion assembly C for run in. At the 30 lower end 34 of the expansion assembly E, there is 31 engagement into a seal bore 36 located in seal sub 32

1 14. If this arrangement is used, circulation during run in is possible as indicated by the arrows shown 2 in Figs. la-d. 3 The expansion assembly E shown in Figs. 1a-d through 5 5a-d is illustrated schematically featuring an 6 7 expanding bladder 38. The bladder 38 is shown above the seal bore 36 in an embodiment where flow through 8 9 the expansion assembly E can exit its lower end 34. 10 In a known manner one or more balls can be dropped 11 to land below the bladder 38 so that it can be selectively inflated and deflated at desired 12 locations. While this is one way to actuate the 13 14 bladder 38, the preferred technique is illustrated in Figs. 6-10. Using the equipment shown in these 16 Figures, the placement of the seal bore 36 will need 17 to be above the bladder 38, as will be explained 18 below. 19 20 At this point, the overall process can be readily 21 understood. The completion assembly C is supported 22 off of the expansion assembly E for running in to 23 the well bore in tandem on rigid or coiled tubing 40. The setting tool 32 engages the packer 30 for 24 25 support. Circulation is possible during run in as 26 flow goes through the expansion assembly E and, in 27 the preferred embodiment shown in Fig. 7, exits 28 laterally through the inflation valve 42 at ports 44 29 which are disposed below a seal bore such as 36. It 30 should be noted that the inflation valve 42 (see

Fig. 7) is disposed above screen expansion tool 47

(see Figs. 9a-b), which comprises the bladder 38.

31

- 1 During run in, the bladder 38 is deflated and
- 2 circulation out of ports 44 goes around deflated
- 3 bladder 38 and out through wash down shoe 14, or an
- 4 equivalent lower outlet, and back to the surface
- 5 through annulus 46.
- 6 The packer 30 is set using the setting tool 32, in a
- 7 known manner which puts a longitudinal compressive
- 8 force on element 48 pushing it against the well bore
- 9 10, closing off annulus 46 (as shown in Fig. 2a).
- 10 The use of packer 30 is optional and other devices
- 11 can be used to initially secure the position of
- completion assembly C prior to expansion, without
- 13 departing from the invention.
- 14 The expansion assembly is then actuated from the
- 15 surface to inflate bladder 38 so as to diametrically
- 16 expand the lowermost isolator 16, followed by screen
- 17 20, isolator 18, and, if present, isolator
- 18 24, followed by screen 28, and isolator 26. These
- 19 items can be expanded from bottom to top as
- 20 described or in a reverse order from top to bottom
- 21 or in any other desired sequence without departing
- 22 from the invention. The expansion technique involves
- 23 selective inflation and deflation of bladder 38
- 24 followed by a repositioning of the expansion
- 25 assembly E until all the desired zones are isolated
- 26 by expansion of a pair of isolators above and below
- an expanded screen. The number of repositioning
- 28 steps is dependent on the length of bladder 38 and
- 29 the length and number of distinct isolation
- 30 assemblies for the respective zones to be isolated.
- 31 Fig.3c shows the lower screen 20 and the lowermost
- 32 isolator 16 already expanded. Fig. 4b shows the

- upper screen 28 being expanded, while Figs. 5a-d
- 2 reveal the conclusion of expansion which results in
- 3 isolation of two zones, or stated differently, two
- 4 production locations in the well bore 10. This
- 5 Figure also illustrates that the expansion assembly
- 6 E has been removed and a production string 50 having
- 7 lower end seals 52 has been tagged into seal bore 54
- 8 in packer 30. It should be noted that tubular 22
- 9 has not been expanded as it lies between the zones
- 10 of interest that require isolation.
- 11 Now that the overall method has been described, the
- various components, which make up the preferred
- embodiment of the expansion assembly E, will be
- 14 further explained with reference to Figs. 6-10.
- 15 Going from up-hole to down hole the expansion
- 16 assembly E comprises: a circulating valve 56 (see
- 17 Fig. 6); an inflation valve 42 (see Fig. 7); an
- injection control valve 58 (see Figs. 8a-b); an
- inflatable expansion tool 47 (see Figs.9a-b); and a
- 20 drain valve 60 (see Fig. 10).
- 21 The purpose of the circulating valve 56 is to serve
- 22 as a fluid conduit during the expansion and
- 23 deflation of the bladder 38. It comprises a top sub
- 24 62 having an inlet 64 leading to a through passage
- 25 66. A piston 68 is held in the position shown by one
- or more shear pins 70. Housing 72 connects a
- bottom sub 74 to the top sub 62. Seals 76 and 78
- 28 straddle opening 80 in housing 72 effectively
- 29 isolating opening **80** from passage **66**. A ball seat **82**
- 30 is located on piston 68 to eventually catch a ball
- 31 (not shown) to allow breaking of shear pins 70 and a
- 32 shifting of piston 68 to expose opening or openings

- 1 80. The main purpose of the circulating valve 56 is
- 2 to allow drainage of the string as the expansion
- 3 assembly E is finally removed from the well bore 10
- 4 at the conclusion of all the required expansions.
- 5 This avoids the need to lift a long fluid column
- 6 that would otherwise be trapped inside the tubing
- 7 40, during the trip out of the hole.
- 8 The next item, mounted just below the circulating
- 9 valve 56, is the inflation valve 42. It is
- 10 illustrated in the run in position. It has a top sub
- 11 84 connected to a dog housing 86, which is in turn
- connected to a bottom sub 88. A body 90 is mounted
- between the top sub 84 and the bottom sub 88 with
- 14 seal 92 disposed at the lower end of annular cavity
- 15 94. A piston 95, having a groove 96, is disposed in
- annular cavity 94. Body 90 supports ball seat 97 in
- passage 98. Body 90 has a lateral passage 100 to
- provide fluid communication between passage 98 and
- piston 95. A shear pin or pins 102 secure the
- 20 initial position of piston 95 to dog housing 86.
- 21 Body 90 also has lateral openings 104 and 106 while
- dog housing 86 has a lateral opening 44 near opening
- 23 106. At the top of piston 95 are seals 108 and 110
- 24 to allow for pressure buildup above piston 95 in
- passage 98 when a ball (not shown) is dropped onto
- 26 ball seat 97. Mounted to dog housing 86 are locking
- 27 dogs 112 which are biased into groove 96 when it
- 28 presents itself opposite dogs 112. Biasing is
- 29 provided by a band spring 114.
- 30 The operation of the inflation valve 42 can now be
- understood. During run in, passage 98 is open down
- 32 to lateral opening 106. Since passage 98 is

initially obstructed in injection control valve 58, 1 2 for reasons to be later explained, flow into passage 3 98 exits the dog housing 86 through lateral openings 106 (in body 90) and lateral opening 44 (in 4 dog housing 86). Since opening 44 is below a seal 5 bore (such as 36) mounted to the completion assembly 7 C flow from the surface will, on run in, go through the circulating valve 56 and through passage 98 of 8 inflation valve 42 and finally exit at port 44 for 9 conclusion of the circulation loop to the surface 10 11 through annulus 46. Dropping a ball (not shown) onto ball seat 97 allows pressure to build on top of 12 piston 95, which breaks shear pin 102 as piston 95 13 moves down. This downward movement allows flow to 14 bypass the now obstructed ball seat 97 by moving 15 16 seals 108 and 110 below lateral port 104. At the same time, lateral port 44 is obstructed as seal 116 17 passes port 106 in body 90. The movement of piston 18 95 is locked as dogs 112 are biased by band spring 19 114 into groove 96. Pressure from the surface, at 20 21 this point, is directed into the injection control valve 58. 22 23 The injection control valve 58 comprises a top sub 24 25 118 connected to a valve mandrel 120 at thread 122. 26 Valve mandrel 120 is connected to spring mandrel 124 27 at thread 126. Spring mandrel 124 is connected to 28 sleeve adapter 128 at thread 130. Sleeve adapter 128 is connected to bottom sub 132 at thread 134. Wedged 29 between valve mandrel 120 and top sub 118 are 30

32 to seal plug 138 to valve mandrel 120. Flow entering

perforated sleeve 136 and plug 138. Seal 140 is used

passage 142 from passage 98 in the inflation valve 1 42 passes through openings 144 in perforated sleeve 2 136 and through lateral passage 146 in valve mandrel 3 120. This happens because plug 138 obstructs passage 4 142 below openings 144. Piston 148 fits over valve 5 mandrel 120 to define an annular passage 150, the bottom of which is defined by seal adapter 152, 7 which supports spaced seals 154 and 156. In the 8 initial position, seals 154 and 156 straddle passage 9 158 in valve mandrel 120. A pressure buildup in 10 annular passage 150 displaces piston 148 and moves 11 seal 154 past passage 158 to allow flow to bypass 12 plug 138 through a flow path which includes openings 13 144, passage 146, passage 158, and eventually out 14 bottom sub 132. At the same time spring 160 is 15 compressed by seal adapter 152, which moves in 16 tandem with piston 148. Seals 154 and 156 wind up 17 straddling passage 162 in valve mandrel 120. This 18 prevents escape of fluid out through passage 164 in 19 seal adapter 152. Accordingly, fluid flow initiated 20 from the surface will flow through injection control 21 valve 58 after sufficient pressure has displaced 22 piston 148. Such flow will proceed into inflatable 23. expansion tool 47. Upon removal of surface pressure, 24 spring 160 displaces seals 154 and 156 back above 25 passage 162 to allow pressure to be bled off through 26 passage 164 to allow bladder 38 to deflate, as will 27 be explained below. 28 29 Referring now to Figs. 9a-b, the structure and 30 operation of the inflatable expansion tool 47 will 31 now be described. A top sub 168 is connected to a 32

mandrel 170 and a bottom sub 172 is connected to the 1 lower end of the mandrel 170. Bladder 38 is retained 2 in a known manner to mandrel 170 by a fixed 3 connection at seal adapter 174 at its upper end and by a movable seal adapter 176 at its lower end. Seal 5 adapter 176 is connected to spring housing 178 to define a variable volume chamber 180 in which are 7 mounted a plurality of Belleville washers 182. A stop ring 184 is mounted to mandrel 170 in a manner 9 where it is prevented from moving up-hole. Passages 10 186 and 187 communicate pressure in central passage 11 188 through the mandrel 170 and under bladder 38 to 12 inflate it. In response to pressure below the 13 bladder 38, there is up-hole longitudinal movement 14 of seal adapter 176 and spring housing 178. Since 15 stop ring 184 can't move in this direction, the 16 Belleville washers get compressed. Outward expansion 17 of bladder 38 can be stopped when all the Belleville 18 washers have been pressed flat. Other techniques for 19 limiting the expansion of bladder 38 will be 20 described below. What remains to be described is 21 the drain valve 60 shown in Fig. 10. It is this 22 valve that creates the back- pressure to allow 23 bladder 38 to expand. 24 25 The drain valve 60 has a top sub 190 connected to an 26 adapter 192, which is, in turn, connected to housing 27 28 194 followed, by a bottom sub 196. A piston 198 is connected to a restrictor housing 200 followed by a 29 seal ring seat 202. Restrictor housing 200 supports 30 a restrictor 204. Spring 206 bears on bottom sub 196 31

and exerts an up-hole force on piston 198. Seal 208

1 forces flow through restrictor 204 producing backpressure, which drives the expansion of bladder 38. 2 Initially flow will proceed through restrictor 204 3 4 into passage 210 and around spring 206 and between seal ring seat 202 and seal ring insert 212. This 5 flow situation will only continue until there is 6 7 contact between seal ring seat 202 and seal ring insert 212. At that time flow from the surface stops 8 and applied pressure from surface pumps is applied 9 directly under bladder 38. One reason to cut the 10 flow from drain valve 60 is to prevent pressure 11 pumping into the formation below, which can have a 12 negative affect on subsequent production. When the 13 surface pumps are turned off, a gap reopens between 14 seal ring seat 202 and seal ring insert 212. Some 15 under bladder pressure can be relieved through this 16 gap. Most of the accumulated pressure will bleed off 17 through passage 164 in the injection control valve 18 58 (see Fig. 8a) in the manner previously described. 19 20 Those skilled in the art can now see how by 21 selective inflation and deflation of bladder 38 the 22 isolators and screens illustrated in Figs. la-d can 23 be expanded in any desired order. 24 Some of the features of the invention are the 25 various designs for the expandable isolator, such as 26 isolator 26, as illustrated in Figs. 11-22. It 27 should be noted that the isolator depicted in Figs. 28 la-d is not an inflatable packer in the traditional 29 sense. Rather it is a tubular mandrel 214 surrounded 30 by a sealing sleeve 216 wherein inflatable, such as 31 bladder 38, or other devices are used to expand both 32

- 1 mandrel 214 and sleeve 216 together into the open
- 2 hole of well bore 10.
- In the embodiments shown in Figs. 11 and 12 the
- 4 sleeve 216 is shown in rubber. There are
- 5 circumferential ribs 218 added to prevent rubber
- 6 migration or extrusion upon expansion. The expanded
- 7 view is illustrated in Fig. 12. In open hole
- 8 completions, the ribs 218 dig into the borehole
- 9 wall. This assures seal integrity against extrusion.
- 10 Ribs 218 can be directly attached to the mandrel 214
- or they can be part of a sleeve, which is slipped
- over mandrel 214 before the rubber is applied.
- 13 Direct connection of ribs 218 can cause locations of
- 14 high stress concentration, whereas a sleeve with
- ribs 218 mounted to it reduces the stress
- 16 concentration effect. Ribs 218 can be applied in a
- 17 variety of patterns such as offset spirals. They can
- 18 be continuous or discontinuous and they can have
- 19 variable or constant cross-sectional shapes and
- 20 sizes.
- 21 A beneficial aspect of ribs 39 in bladder 38 (see
- 22 Fig. 9a) is that their presence helps to reduce
- 23 longitudinal shortening of mandrel 214 and sleeve
- 24 216 as they are diametrically expanded. Limiting
- 25 longitudinal shrinkage due to expansion is a
- 26 significant issue when expanding long segments
- 27 because a potential for a misalignment of the screen
- and surrounding isolators from the zone of interest.
- 29 This effect can happen if there is significant
- 30 longitudinal shrinkage, which is a more likely
- 31 occurrence if there is a mechanical expansion with a
- 32 cone.

2	The expansion techniques can be a combination of an		
3	inflatable for the isolators and a cone for		
4	expansion of screens. This hybrid technique is most		
.5	useful for cone expanding long screen sections while		
6	the isolators above and below are expanded with a		
7	bladder. The isolators require a great deal of force		
8	to assure seal integrity making the application of		
9	inflatable technology most appropriate. The		
10	inflation pressure for a bladder 38 disposed inside		
11	an isolator can be monitored at the surface. The		
12	characteristic pressure curve rises steeply until		
13	the mandrel starts to yield, and then levels off		
14	during the expansion process, and thereafter there		
15	is a subsequent spike at the point of contact with		
16	the formation or casing. It is not unusual to see		
17	the plateau at about 6,000 PSI with a spike going as		
18	high as 8500 PSI. Use of pressure intensifiers		
19	adjacent the bladder 38, as a part of the expansion		
20	assembly E, allows the up-hole equipment to operate		
21	at lower pressures to keep down equipment costs. The		
22	ability to monitor and control inflation pressure		
23	can be a control technique to regulate the amount of		
24	expansion in an effort to avoid mandrel failure or		
25	overstressing the formation. Another monitoring		
26	technique for real time expansion is to put strain		
27	sensors in the isolator mandrels and use known		
28	signal transmission techniques to communicate such		
29	information to the surface in real time. Yet another		
30	technique for limitation of expansion can be control		
31	of the volume of incompressible fluid delivered		
32	under the bladder 38. Another technique can be to		

apply longitudinal corrugations to the mandrel 214, 1 such that the size it will expand to when rounded by 2 an inflatable is known. 3 4 Referring now to Figs. 13 and 14, another approach 5 to limiting extrusion of sealing sleeve 216 upon 6 expansion by a bladder 38, is to put reinforcing 7 ribs 220 in whole or in part at or near the upper 8 and/or lower ends of the sealing sleeve 216. Their 9 presence creates an increased force into the open 10 hole to reduce end extrusion, as shown in Fig. 14: 11 12 In Figs. 15-17, the anti-extrusion feature is a pair 13 of embedded rings 221 that run longitudinally in 14 sleeve 216. The stiffness of each ring 221 can be 15 varied along its length, from strongest at the ends 16 of sleeve 216 to weaker toward its middle. One way 17 to do this is to add bigger holes 222 closer to the 18 middle of sleeve 216 and smaller holes 224 nearer 19 the ends, as shown in Fig. 16. Another way is to 20 21 vary the thickness. 22 In Figs. 18-20, another variation is shown which 23 involves a void space 226 between the mandrel 214 24 and the sleeve 216. This space can be filled with a 25 deformable material, or a particulate material, such 26 as proppant, sand, glass balls or ceramic beads 228. 27 The beneficial features of this design can be seen 28 after there is expansion in an out of round open 29 hole, as shown in Fig. 20. Where there is a short 30 distance to expand to the nearby borehole wall, 31 contact of sleeve 216 occurs sooner. This causes a

displacement of the filler 228 so that the regions 1 with greater borehole voids can still be as tightly 2 sealed as the regions where contact is first made. 3 This configuration, in particular, as well as the 4 other designs for isolators discussed above offers 5 an advantage over mechanical expansion with a cone. 6 7 Cone expansion applies a uniform circumferential expansion force regardless of the shape of the 8 borehole. The inflate technique conforms the applied 9 force to where the resistance appears. Expansions 10 that more closely conform to the contour of the well 11 . bore can thus be accomplished. Use of the void 226 12: with filler 228 merely amplifies this inherent 13 advantage of expansion with a bladder 38. 14 skilled in the art will appreciate that the shorter 15 the bladder 38, the greater is the ability of the 16 isolator to be expanded in close conformity with the 17 borehole configuration. One the other hand, a 18 shorter bladder also requires more cycles for 19 expansion of a given length of isolator or screen. 20 Longer bladders not only make the expansion go 21 faster, but also allow for greater control of 22 longitudinal shrinkage. Here again, the ability to 23 control longitudinal shrinkage will have a tradeoff. 24 If the mandrel 214 is restrained from shrinking as 25 much longitudinally its wall thickness will decrease 26 on diametric expansion. Compensation for this 27 phenomenon by merely increasing the initial wall 28 thickness of the mandrel 214 creates the problem of 29 greatly increasing the required expansion pressure. 30

A solution is demonstrated in Figs. 23-25. In these 1 Figures, the mandrel 214 still has the sleeve 216. 2 Internally to mandrel 214 is a seal bore 230, which 3 can span the length of the sleeve 216. Within the seal bore 230, the inflatable expansion tool 47 is 5 inserted. The inflatable expansion tool 47 has been 6 modified to have a bladder 38 and an insert sleeve 7 232 with a port 234 all mounted between two body 8 rings 236 and 238. Initially, as shown in Fig. 24, 9 fluid pressure expands the mandrel 214 against the 10 borehole through port 234. Then the bladder 38 is 11 expanded to push the sleeve 232 against the already 12 expanded mandrel 214 (see Fig. 25). 13 14 Yet another technique for improving the sealing of 15 an isolator is to take advantage of the greater 16 coefficient of thermal expansion in the sleeve 216 17 such as when it is made of rubber. If the rubber is 18 pre-cooled prior to running into the well bore it 19 . will grow in size as it comes to equilibrium 20 temperature even after it has been inflatably 21 expanded. The subsequent expansion increases sealing 22 load. Thus rather than over-expanding the formation 23 in-order to store elastic energy in it, the use of a 24 mandrel 214 with a thin rubber sleeve 216 allows 25 storage of elastic strain in the rubber itself. 26 Although rubber has been mentioned for sleeve 216 27 other resilient materials compatible with down hole 28 29 temperatures, pressures and fluids can be used without departing from the invention. 30

- 1 The screens, such as 28 can have a variety of
- 2 structures and can be a single or multi-layer
- 3 arrangement. In Fig.1b, the screen 28 is shown as a
- 4 sandwich of a 250-micron membrane 240 between inner
- 5 242 and outer 244 jackets. These jackets are
- 6 perforated or punched and the membrane itself can be
- 7 a plurality of layers joined to each other by
- 8 sintering or other joining techniques. The advantage
- 9 of the sandwich is to minimize relative expansion as
- well as to protect the membrane 240.

11

- 12 Yet another isolator configuration is visible in
- 13 Figs. 21-22. Here the mandrel 214 has a wavy
- 14 configuration one embodiment of which is a
- 15 circumferential ribbed appearance. The sleeve 216 is
- 16 applied to have a cylindrical exterior surface.
- 17 After expansion, as seen in Fig. 22, the mandrel 214
- 18 becomes cylindrically shaped while the sleeve takes
- on a wavy exterior shape with peaks where the
- 20 mandrel 214 had valleys, in its pre-expanded state.

- Yet another issue resolved by the present invention
- 23 is how to limit expansion of the isolators in a
- 24 radial direction. Unrestrained growth can result in
- 25 rupture if the elongation limits of the mandrel 214
- are exceeded. Additionally, excessive loads on the
- 27 formation can fracture it excessively adjacent the
- 28 isolator. Expansion limiting devices can be applied
- 29 to the isolator itself or to the fluid expansion
- 30 tool used to increase its diameter. In one example,
- 31 the mandrel 214 is wrapped in a sleeve 215 made of a
- 32 biaxial metal weave before the rubber is applied.

This material is frequently used as an outer jacket 1 for high- pressure industrial hose. It allows a 2 limited amount of diametric expansion until the 3 weave "locks up" at which time further expansion is severely limited in the absence of a dramatic 5 increase in applied force. This condition can be 6 monitored from the surface so as to avoid over-7 expansion of the isolator. 8 9 As an expanding-mandrel packer is radially expanded 10 outwards it is desirable to have a mechanism in 11 place to limit the radial growth of the packer. 12 the packer is allowed to expand without restraint of 13 some kind it will ultimately rupture once the 14 elongation limit of the mandrel material is 15 exceeded. Also, if the packer is allowed to place 16 an excessive load against an open hole formation 17 wall the formation may be damaged and caused to 18 fracture adjacent to the packer. There needs to be 19 an expansion limiting mechanism in either the 20 packer, such as isolator 16, or expansion device, 21 such as expansion assembly E. 22 23 If the expanding-mandrel packer is being expanded 24 using an inflatable packer (i.e. using hydraulic 25 pressure), once the yield point of the material is 26 exceeded and the mandrel deforms plastically, 27 pressure indications of the amount of radial 28 expansion is impossible. Therefore, it is desirable 29 that once a pre-determined level of expansion is 30 obtained there is a pressure indication that would 31 indicate the packer is at its maximum design limit. 32

1 An increase in applied pressure would be obtained if 2 at some point the packer is subjected to an increased mechanical force opposing additional 3 4 expansion. 5 6 The expansion of the packer may be limited by 7 wrapping a bi-axial metal weave sleeve over the 8 mandrel (see Fig. 26) prior to adding the sealing medium 216 (i.e. rubber). The bi-axial sleeve 215 9 will grow circumferentially as the packer mandrel is 10 11 expanded, however at a pre-determined diameter the 12 bi-axial sleeve will "lock-up" (see Fig. 27), 13 preventing any additional radial expansion of the 14 mandrel without a significant increase in applied 15 radial load from the expansion device. 16 give an indication at the surface that the limiting 17 diameter of the packer has been reached, and further 18 expansion is ceased. 19 20 The bi-axial mesh sleeve 215 would be fabricated in 21 a tubular shape, and would be installed over the 22 expanding-mandrel 214 during assembly of the packer. The mesh sleeve 215 would be in the un-expanded 23 24 condition at this time. A rubber sealing cover 216 25 would then be applied over the bi-axial sleeve 215 26 to serve as the sealing component as the packer is expanded radially against the open-hole or casing. 27 The assembled packer cross section is shown in Fig. 28 29 28. As the packer is expanded in the borehole, the bi-

- 31
- 32 axial mesh sleeve 215 expands circumferentially

along with the packer mandrel 214. The rubber cover 216 is also expanding at this time. Once a pre-2 determined amount of expansion is obtained however 3 the weaved metal fibers in the bi-axial sleeve will 4 reach a configuration where further expansion is not 5 possible, without breaking the fibers in the mesh. 6 This will result in additional resistance to radial 7 expansion, which will be detected by an increase in . 8 applied pressure required for additional expansion. 9 At this point attempts at further expansion is 10 ceased. 11 12 Fig. 27 shows the condition of the packer after 13 reaching the expansion limit of the packer, as 14 dictated by the maximum diametrical growth limit of 15 the bi-axial mesh sleeve 215. The fiber orientation 16 in the mesh sleeve is more in a perpendicular 17 orientation to the long axis of the packer than 18 before expansion was started. The amount of 19 expansion possible in these mesh sleeves is dictated 20 by the wrapping pattern used, and can be varied to 21 allow various expansion potentials. 22 23 The amount of expansion of bladder 38 can also be 24 limited by regulation of volume delivered to it by 25 measuring the flow going in or by delivering fluid 26 from a reservoir having a known volume. Typically 27 the isolators and screens of the present invention 28 will have to be expanded up to 25%, or more, to 29 reach the borehole. This requires materials with 30 superior ductility and toughness. Some acceptable 31 32 materials are austenitic stainless steels, such as

1 304L or 316L, super austenitic stainless steel (Alloy 2 28), and nickel based alloys (Inconel 825). As much as a 45% elongation can be achieved by using these 3 materials in their fully annealed state. These 4 5 materials have superior corrosion resistance 6 particularly in chlorides or in sour gas service, 7 although some of the materials perform better than others. Inconel 825 is very expensive which may rule 8 it out for long intervals. In vertical wells with 9 short zones this cost will not normally be an issue. 10 11 The sequence of expansion can also have an effect on 12 13 the overall system performance of the isolators. A 14 desirable sequence can begin with an upper isolator followed by a screen expansion followed by expansion 15 of the lower isolator. Simultaneous expansion of the 16 isolators and screen should be avoided because of 17 the potentially different pressure responses, which, 18 in turn, can cause either under or over expansion of 19 the isolators, which, in turn, can cause inadequate 20 sealing or formation fracturing. 21 22 When an isolator, such as 16, is expanded, the 23 sealing integrity can be checked. This can be 24 25 accomplished using the expansion assembly E illustrated in Figs. 6-10. After expansion of the 26 bladder 38, which sets isolator 16, the bladder 38 27 is allowed to deflate by removal of pressure from 28 the surface. Thereafter, flow from the surface is 29 resumed with bladder 38 still in position inside the 30 now expanded isolator 16. The injection control 31 valve 58 is opened by flow through it, which 32

ultimately exits through the drain valve 60. Due to 1 creation of backpressure by virtue of restrictor 204 2 (see Fig. 10) the bladder re-inflates inside the 3 expanded mandrel 214 of the isolator 16. A seal is 4 created between the completion assembly C and the 5 expansion assembly E. Since there is an exit point 6 at wash down shoe 14 and the isolator 16 is already 7 expanded against the well bore 10, applied pressure 8 from the surface will go back up the annulus 46 9 10 until it encounters the sealing sleeve 216, which is now firmly engaging the bore hole wall 10. The 11 annulus 46 is monitored at the surface to see if any 12 returns arrive. Absence of returns indicates the 13 seal of isolator 16 is holding. It should be noted 14 15 that conducting this test puts pressure on the formation for a brief period. It should also be 16 noted that the other isolators could be checked for 17 leakage in a similar manner. For example, isolator 18 18 can be checked with bladder 38 re-inflated and 19 20 flow through the expansion assembly E, which exits through screen 20 and exerts pressure against a 21 sealing sleeve 216 of isolator 18. 22 23 24 As previously mentioned, it may be desirable to 25 combine the inflatable technique with a mechanical 26 expansion technique using a cone expander. The 27 driven cone technique may turn out to be more useful in expanding the screen, since substantially less 28 force is required. Cone expansion is a continuous 29 process and can be accomplished much faster for the 30 screens, which are typically considerably longer 31 32 than the isolators. When it comes to the isolators,

1 the cone expansion technique has some serious drawbacks. Since the isolators must be expanded in 2 3 open hole or casing in order to obtain a seal with a 4 force substantial enough for sealing, greater certainty is required that such a seal has been 5 accomplished than can be afforded with cone 6 7 expansion techniques. In open hole applications, the 8 exact diameter of the hole is unknown due to 9 washouts, drill pipe wear of the borehole, and other 10 reasons. In cased hole applications, there is the 11 issue of manufacturing tolerances in the casing. If 12 the casing is slightly oversized, there will be 13 insufficient sealing using a cone of a fixed dimension. There may be contact by the sealing 14 sleeve 216 but with insufficient force to hold back 15 16 the expected differential pressures. On the other 17 hand, if the casing is undersized, the isolator may 18 provide an adequate seal but the amount of realized 19 expansion may be too small to allow the cone driver 20 to pass through. If driving from bottom to top there will be a solid lockup, which prevents removal of 21 22 the cone driver from the well. If driving from top 23 to bottom the isolator will not be able to expand 24 over its entire length. A solution can be the use of 25 the expansion assembly E for the isolator expansion 26 in combination with a cone expansion assembly for 27 the screens. These two expansion assemblies can be run in separate trips or can be combined together in 28 29 a single assembly, which preferably is run into the 30 borehole together with the completion assembly C.

It is known that drilling fluids can cause a 1 drilling-induced damage zone immediately around the 2 well bore 10. Depending on factors such as formation 3 mechanical properties and residual stresses radial fractures can be extended as much as two feet into 5 the formation to bypass the drilling-induced damage 6 zone. This can be accomplished by over expanding the 7 screens as they contact the well bore. A stable 8 fracture presents little or no danger of migration 9 into the zone sealed by the packers. Thus, for 10 example in an eight inch well bore an expansion 11 pressure of about 2500 PSI yields a fracture radius 12 of about .5 feet, while a pressure of 7600PSI causes 13 a 1 foot radius fracture. Because of the large 14 friction existing between the screen and the well 15 bore wall, multiple radial fractures may be induced 16 in different directions, not necessarily aligned 17 with the maximum horizontal stress direction. 18 Increased fracture density improves well bore 19 productivity. 20 21 Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the 22 techniques described above can result in a savings 23 in time and expense in the order of 75% when 24 compared to traditional techniques of cementing and 25 perforating casing coupled with traditional gravel 26 packing operations. The system is versatile and can 27 be accomplished while running coiled tubing because 28 the expansion technique is not dependent on work 29 string manipulation as may by needed for a cone 30 expansion using pushing or pulling on the work 31 string. Expansion techniques can be combined and 32.

- can include roller expansion as well as cone or an
- 2 inflatable or combinations. The expansion assembly E
- 3 can expand both the isolators and the screens.
- Another expansion device that can be used is a
- 5 swedge. The preferred direction of expansion is
- down hole starting from the packer 30 or any other
- 7 sealing or anchoring device, which can be used in
- 8 its place. The inflatable technique acts to limit
- 9 axial contraction when compared to other methods of
- 10 expansion due to the axial contact constraint
- 11 . between the inflatable and isolator or screen during
- the expansion process. The sealing sleeve 216 can be
- 13 rubber or other materials that are compatible with
- 14 conditions down hole and exhibit the requisite
- resiliency to provide an effective seal at each
- 16 isolator. The formulation of the sleeve can vary
- 17 along its length or in a radial direction in an
- 18 effort to obtain the requisite internal pressure for
- 19 sealing while at the same time limiting extrusion.
- 20 Real time feedback can be incorporated into the
- 21 expansion procedure to insure sufficient expansion
- force and to prevent over-stressing. Stress can be
- 23 sensed during expansion and reported to the surface
- 24 as the bladder 38 expands. The delivered volume to
- 25 the bladder 38 can be controlled or the flow into it
- 26 can be measured. The formation can be locally
- 27 fractured by screen expansion to compensate for
- 28 drilling fluid, which can contaminate the borehole
- 29 wall. Using the isolators with tubular mandrels 214
- 30 a far greater strength is realized than prior
- 31 techniques, which required liners to be slotted to
- 32 reduce expansion force while sacrificing collapse

resistance. The sandwich screens of the present 1 invention can withstand differential pressures of 2-2 3000 PSI as compared to other structures such as 3 those expanded by rollers where resistance to collapse is only in the order of 2-300 PSI. 5 In another expansion technique, the mandrel 214 can 6 be made from material which, when subjected to 7 electrical energy increases in dimension to force 8 the sealing sleeve 216 into sealing contact with the 9 10 borehole. 11 The use of an inflatable technique to expand the 12 isolators and screens allows flexibility in the 13 14 direction of expansion i.e. either up-hole or downhole. It further allows selective expansion of the 15 16 screens, using a variety of techniques, followed by subsequent isolator expansion by the preferred use 17 of the expansion assembly E. 18 19 20 The length of the inflatable is inversely related to 21 its sensitivity to borehole variation and is 22 directly related to the speed with which the isolator is expanded. The screens can be expanded 23 with bladder 38 to achieve localized or more 24 extensive formation fracturing. Overall, higher 25 forces for expansion can be delivered using the 26 27 expansion assembly E than other expansion techniques, such as cone expansions. The inflatable 28 technique can vary the force applied to create 29

uniformity in fracture effect when used in a well

bore with differing hardness or shape variations.

30

The inflatable expansion can be accomplished using a 1 2 down hole piston that is weight set or actuated by an applied force through the work string. If 3 pressure is used to actuate a down hole piston, a 4 pressure intensifier can be fitted adjacent the 5 piston to avoid making the entire work string handle 6 the higher piston actuation pressures. 7 8 The isolators can have constant or variable wall 9. thickness and can be cylindrically shaped or 10 longitudinally corrugated. 11 12 . The above description is illustrative of the 13 preferred embodiment and the full scope of the 14 invention can be determined from the claims, which 15

appear below.

1 Claims:

- A well completion method for isolating at least
- 4 one zone, comprising:
- 5 running into the wellbore a string with at
- 6 least one isolator in conjunction with a tool which
- 7 allows flow from the surrounding formation into the
- 8 string;
- 9 expanding said isolator and said tool in said
- 10 wellbore.
- 11 2. The method of claim 1, comprising:
- 12 performing said expanding of said isolator and
- 13 said tool in a single trip into the wellbore.
- 14 3. The method of claim 1, comprising:
- running in an anchor with said string;
- setting the anchor before said expanding; and
- 17 releasing the string from the anchor before
- 18 said expanding.
- 19 4. The method of claim 1, comprising:
- 20 running in an expansion assembly comprising an
- 21 inflatable with said string; and
- 22 expanding said at least one isolator at least
- 23 in part with said inflatable.
- 24 5. The method of claim 4, comprising:
- 25 selectively deflating and moving said
- 26 inflatable for repositioning;
- 27 continuing expansion of said at least one
- 28 isolator or tool by re-inflating said inflatable
- 29 after said repositioning.
- 30 6. The method of claim 1, comprising:

- forming said at least one isolator from an un-
- 2 perforated mandrel covered by a resilient sealing
- 3 sleeve.
- 4 7. The method of claim 6, comprising:
- 5 expanding said mandrel from its original size;
- 6 and
- 7 using at least a partially annealed material for
- 8 said mandrel.
- 9 8. The method of claim 6, comprising:
- 10 limiting the amount of expansion with a device
- 11 fitted to said mandrel.
- 12 9. The method of claim 8, comprising:
- using a woven sleeve around said mandrel that
- 14 locks up after a predetermined amount of expansion
- of said mandrel as said device.
- 16 10. The method of claim 8, comprising:
- using a strain sensor as said device;
- 18 transmitting, in real time, the sensed strain
- 19 to the surface; and
- 20 determining the amount of expansion from said
- 21 sensed strain.
- 22 11. The method of claim 6, comprising:
- 23 providing radially extending members from said
- 24 mandrel into said resilient sealing sleeve to resist
- 25 extrusion of said resilient sleeve after expansion
- of said mandrel.
- 27 12. The method of claim 6, comprising:
- 28 providing an embedded ring located adjacent at
- 29 least one end of said resilient sleeve to resist
- 30 extrusion of said sleeve after expansion of said
- 31 mandrel.
- 32 13. The method of claim 12, comprising:

- varying the stiffness of said ring along its
- 2 length.
- 3 14. The method of claim 6, comprising:
- providing exterior undulations on said mandrel;
- 5 providing a cylindrically shaped outer surface
- on said resilient sleeve;
- 7 converting said cylindrical shape of the outer
- 8 surface of said resilient sleeve to an undulating
- 9 shape upon expansion of said mandrel.
- 10 15. The method of claim 6, comprising:
- providing a void between said mandrel and said
- 12 resilient sealing sleeve;
- 13 placing a deformable material or a particulate
- 14 material in said void;
- using said deformable material or said
- 16 particulate material to aid said resilient sleeve
- 17 conform to the wellbore shape on expansion of said
- 18 mandrel.
- 19 16. The method of claim 6, comprising:
- 20 pre-cooling said resilient sealing sleeve below
- 21 ambient temperature before insertion into the
- 22 wellbore.
- 23 17. The method of claim 1, comprising:
- 24 circulating through said string during run in;
- 25 closing off circulation passages;
- 26 building pressure in said string;
- 27 using pressure in said string to expand said at
- 28 least one isolator, at least in part.
- 29 18. The method of claim 1, comprising:
- 30 providing an inflatable on said string to
- 31 expand said at least one isolator at least in part.
- 32 19. The method of claim 1, comprising:

- fully expanding said at least one isolator
- 2 solely with at least one inflatable.
- 3 20. The method of claim 19, comprising:
- 4 regulating the volume of incompressible fluid
- 5 delivered to said inflatable as a way to limit
- 6 expansion of said at least one isolator.

- 8 21. The method of claim 19, comprising:
- 9 using a screen as said tool;
- 10 expanding said screen against the wellbore wall
- 11 mechanically.
- 12 22. The method of claim 19, comprising:
- using a screen as said tool;
- expanding said screen with said inflatable.
- 15 23. The method of claim 22, comprising:
- 16 expanding said at least one isolator and said
- 17 screen in a single trip with said inflatable.
- 18 24. The method of claim 18, comprising:
- 19 forming said at least one isolator from an un-
- 20 perforated mandrel covered by a resilient sealing
- 21 sleeve;
- 22 initially expanding said mandrel with pressure
- 23 and then completing the expansion with said
- 24 inflatable.
- 25 25. The method of claim 22, comprising:
- 26 pressure testing, after expansion, the seal of
- 27 said at least one isolator through said screen.
- 28 26. The method of claim 19, comprising:
- 29 performing said expanding of said at least one
- 30 isolator and said tool in a single trip into the
- 31 wellbore.
- 32 27. The method of claim 26, comprising:

- running in an anchor with said string;
- 2 setting the anchor before said expanding said
- 3 inflatable;
- 4 releasing the string from the anchor before
- 5 actuation of the inflatable;
- fremoving said inflatable from the wellbore with
- 7 said string.
- 8 28. The method of claim 18, comprising:
- 9 forming at least one of said isolators from an
- 10 un-perforated mandrel covered by a resilient sealing
- 11 sleeve;
- 12 initially expanding said mandrel mechanically
- 13 with a cone-type device and then completing the
- 14 expansion with said inflatable.
- 15 29. The method of claim 1, comprising:
- 16 expanding said tool into contact with the
- 17 formation; and
- fracturing the formation by said expanding.
- 19 30. The method of claim 6, comprising:
- 20 expanding said tool into contact with the
- 21 formation; and
- 22 fracturing the formation by said expanding.
- 23 31. The method of claim 18 comprising:
- 24 expanding said tool into contact with the
- 25 formation; and
- 26 fracturing the formation by said expanding.
- 27 32. The method of claim 18, comprising:
- 28 providing at least two isolators disposed above
- 29 and below said tool:
- 30 providing at least one screen as said tool;
- 31 expanding at least one of said isolators and
- 32 said screen at least in part with said inflatable.

- 1 33. The method of claim 31, comprising:
- 2 fracturing the formation by said expanding of
- 3 said screen.







Application No: Claims searched:

GB 0130640.6

1-33

Examiner:

Nicholas Mole

Date of search:

15 April 2002

Patents Act 1977 Search Report under Section 17

Databases searched:

UK Patent Office collections, including GB, EP, WO & US patent specifications, in:

UK CI (Ed.T): EIF (FJF, FMU, FLW, FJB, FLA)

Int CI (Ed.7): E21B (43/08, 43/10, 43/14)

Other: Online: WPI EPODOC JAPIO

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Identity of document and relevant passage		
Α	GB 2343691 A	(SHELL)	
A	GB 2336383 A	(BAKER HUGHES)	
A	EP 0360597 A	(HALLIBURTON)	
A, P	US 6263966 B	(HAÜT)	
А	US 5901789	(DONELLY)	
			<u></u>

Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step
 Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.

Member of the same patent family

A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.

P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the

filing date of this invention.

E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER: ____

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.